

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2617**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Thomas E. MULLAN et al.

Group Art Unit: 2617

Application No.: 10/658,776

Examiner: J. AJAYI

Filed: September 10, 2003

Docket No.: 116807

For: MOBILE AIRBORNE HIGH-SPEED BROADBAND COMMUNICATIONS  
SYSTEMS AND METHODS

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the August 9, 2007 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 22 are pending in this application. The Office Action, on page 3, rejects claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,201,797 to Leuca et al. (hereinafter "Leuca") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0192198 to Montebruno et al. (hereinafter "Montebruno"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action, with reference to varying disclosures throughout Leuca, asserts that this reference can reasonably be considered to teach many of the features recited in the pending claims. The Office Action's application of Leuca for what it can reasonably be